

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/667,652 09/23/2003		Claude Mons	242836US6	9119		
22850	7590 03/25/2005		EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			HANAN, DEVIN J			
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	•		3745			

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		P	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>				
Office Action Comments			10/667,652		MONS, CLAUDE	6	ED			
Office Action Summary		E	Examiner		Art Unit					
			Devin Hanar		3745					
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commur ply	nication appea	ars on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	SS				
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 of reply is specified above, the maximum so the ply within the set or extended period for reply ceived by the Office later than three months on term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. 30) days, a reply wit tatutory period will a y will, by statute, cal	a). In no event, ithin the statutor apply and will e suse the applica	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from it tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status										
. 1)	ponsive to communication(s) file	ed on								
2a)∐ This	action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This ac	ction is non	-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition o	f Claims				•					
4a) 0 5)	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>									
Application P	apers									
10)⊠ The Appl	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 23 September icant may not request that any objected to accement drawing sheet(s) including oath or declaration is objected to	er 2003 is/are ection to the dra g the correction	awing(s) be n is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1	1.121(d).				
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)⊠ Ackn a)⊠ Al 1.⊠ 2.⊟ 3.⊟	owledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority	documents he documents he of the priority onal Bureau (F	nave been inave been in document PCT Rule	received. received in Applications s have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Sta	ige				
Attachma: 4/-1			-							
2) Notice of D 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or )/Mail Date <u>9/23/2003</u> .		5	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa		2)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "axial protrusions wherein said stiffener is formed by at least one wound composite assembly in the form of a sleeve mounted on one of said protrusions" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3745

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because it states "between an upstream end and a downstream end" which has no meaning since the claim fails to establish any flow. Correction is requested.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

Art Unit: 3745

Claim 1 recites the broad recitation "the drum being generally in the form of a body of revolution about a longitudinal axis", and the claim also recites "being intended in particular to form a rotor" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

In addition, claim 10 recites the broad recitation "turboshaft engine", and the claim also recites "turbojet engine" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claims 2-9 are indefinite by virtue of their dependence, either directly or indirectly, from claim 1.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 9-10, as far as they are definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wagle (U.S. Patent 3,554,667).

Wagle discloses a drum supporting blades, the drum (9) being generally in the form of a body of revolution about a longitudinal axis and being intended in particular to form a rotor (9, 10,11, 13), the drum being made of a metal alloy (col. 3 line 13) and extending between an upstream end (11) and a downstream end (12) along a curved profile that can be circumscribed in an annular envelope extending around said longitudinal axis, said profile extending radially around a surface of revolution

presenting a generatrix line, said downstream end being provided with a stiffener (29 or 30), wherein said stiffener is provided with at least one composite assembly mounted on said downstream end, said composite assembly comprising fibers and a polymer matrix (col. 2 lines 29-31).

Regarding claim 2, Wagle discloses a first portion made as a single piece of metal (10) and a second portion forming said stiffener (29 and 30).

Regarding claim 3, Wagle discloses a downstream end defined by an annular housing coaxial about said longitudinal axis, said housing being radially open towards the outside (roots 19 of blade 18 are in those openings), and wherein said composite assembly is annular and wound around said downstream end (29 or 30), being positioned in said housing.

Regarding claim 4, Wagle discloses a stiffener formed by at least one wound composite assembly in the form of a sleeve mounted on one of said protrusions (reinforcement rings 29 and 30 act as sleeves).

Regarding claim 5, Wagle discloses a stiffener off-centered relative to said generatrix line (30).

Regarding claim 6, Wagle discloses a stiffener off-centered radially outwards relative to said generatrix line (29).

Regarding claim 9, Wagle discloses an axial centrifugal compressor a drum and having moving blades fixed thereon (col. 1 line 25-31).

Regarding claim 10, Wagle discloses a turboshaft engine (col. 1 line 25-31).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,652

Art Unit: 3745

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 7 and 8, as far as they are definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wagle in view of Palfreyman et al. (U.S. Patent 3,532,438).

Wagle discloses an assembly formed by wound long carbon fibers received in a matrix of resin and resin of the epoxy type (col. 2 line 29-33).

Wagle does not disclose that the resin is a thermosetting resin.

Palfreyman et al. teaches the use of a thermosetting resin as an alternative material for use in fluid flow machines (col. 1 lines 70-71).

Since Wagle and Palfreyman et al. are in the same field of endeavor the material disclosed by Palfreyman would have recognized in the pertinent art of Wagle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the thermosetting resin of Palfreyman for the reinforcement rings of Wagle, as an acceptable material alternative.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,652 Page 7

Art Unit: 3745

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devin Hanan whose telephone number is 571-272-6089. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on 571-272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Devin Hanan Patent Examiner Art Unit 3745

Down Hanon

EDWARD K. LOOK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

3/19/05